Abstract—Due to population ageing, the number of people that depend on mobility aids, such as walking frames, mobility scooters, or wheel chairs, will increase steadily over the next decades. In order to support the physical impaired outside traffic participants, barrier-free navigation and trip planning solutions have been developed. In this work, we go beyond these approaches and investigate potential benefits arising from the integration of mobility aids in vehicle-to-x communication. We argue that this can lead to increased safety for all traffic participants as well as to a more efficient mobility for the physically impaired people as individual requirements can be met.

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Independent mobility is a key aspect for self-determined living and social inclusion. However, with the demographic change leading towards population ageing, more and more people have physical impairments and are dependent on mobility aids; and the number will rise steadily over the next decades. For people with mobility aids, normal road traffic can already present an insurmountable barrier. A major problem is the negotiation of height differences (e.g., steps or curbs). In situations with other traffic participants, the significantly slower speed of mobility aid users in comparison to average pedestrians can cause dangerous situations. Both aspects make users of mobility aids more vulnerable in road traffic, as they need more time to cross streets and have to use the street in case of inadequate sidewalks. Together with the uncertainty of not knowing where they can go safely, users may avoid certain traffic situations which can heavily limit their mobility.

In a 2012 study on outdoor built environment barriers among people with mobility disabilities [1], Rosenberg et al. identified the themes that negatively affect the people’s individual mobility. The main mobility barriers from this study are summarized in Table I. In many cases, these barriers can be overcome by providing real-time information or exchanging messages with other traffic participants. For that reason, we analyze the use of vehicle-to-x (V2X) communication in order to provide support in daily traffic for users of mobility aids.

II. POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS

The potential applications are summarized in Table I. The themes are based on the summary of common barriers for users of mobility aids [1]. The user equipment can be a commercial off-the-shelf mobile device (smartphone or tablet PC) with V2X communication capabilities [2]. For use cases T1 (topology-based navigation) and T2 (real-time operational information),
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data about the topology of intersections and surrounding sidewalks has to be broadcast via vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communication. The description should also contain positions of pedestrian crossings and other auxiliary means for crossing the street (e.g., elevators to underground crossings). In addition, the current state of these auxiliary means should be included. The V2I data can then be combined with navigational information on the mobile device for providing enhanced routes. An example is depicted in Figure 1.

Themes T3 (crossing at traffic lights) and T4 (crossing the street) require the user equipment to transmit data. This can be done in a message that contains the current position, heading, and speed of the pedestrian. When a vehicle receives the data of a mobility aid user, it can present an appropriate warning to the driver. The same data can be evaluated by traffic lights. In case a user with mobility aid is crossing at the traffic light, it can automatically determine whether the crossing time needs to be prolonged. Example scenarios are depicted in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

III. OPEN ISSUES AND FUTURE WORK

As pedestrians in general are currently not included in V2X scenarios, a new message type needs to be introduced and standardized. The message would be similar to the cooperative awareness message (CAM) for vehicles, quasi a “pedestrian
Since the user equipment needs to be powered by battery, a low power radio system is necessary. It would be either possible to equip the devices with IEEE 802.11p-compatible radio units, or switch to an existing low power standard. For example, Bluetooth low energy (also known as Bluetooth Smart) would be an appropriate short range wireless communication standard that offers low latency. As with V2X applications in general, a certain penetration rate is necessary in order to create a benefit for the users. When realizing the services via the users’ mobile devices, the adoption is dependent on whether new hardware is necessary or not. If new hardware is necessary and the technology is adopted by the large mobile handset manufacturers, a high penetration rate can be reached within one common mobile device life cycle, which is about 18 months. Necessary infrastructure upgrades would accompany the upgrades that are necessary for V2I communication. For use case T4 (crossing the road), the equipment rate of vehicles is also decisive. As the life cycle of vehicles is much longer, an adoption rate of more than 90% is expected 15 years after broad market introduction.

All in all, V2X communication has the potential to improve the mobility situation for physically impaired people by supporting them with accurate real-time information and informing other traffic participants about them. In addition, with higher grades of driving automation, vehicles can use the data received from crossing pedestrians to avoid potentially dangerous situations. Future work includes evaluating radio standards and defining appropriate message format.
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